HALLIE N. ZIMMERMAN (SBN 247407) 1 HALLIE N. ZIMMERMAN LAW OFFICES P.O. Box 4223 2 Anticoh, CA 94565 Telephone: (925) 768-1433 3 Email Address: hnzimmerman7@gmail.com 4 Attorney for Plaintiff 5 Therese S. Harris 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 **CASE NO:** 10 THERESE S. HARRIS, an individual, 11 **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES** Plaintiff, 12 v. **DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL** 13 PAYMENT RESOLUTION SERVICES, 14 LLC, 15 Defendant. 16 Plaintiff THERESE S. HARRIS ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, Hallie 17 Zimmerman, as and for its Complaint against the Defendant PAYMENT RESOLUTION 18 SERVICES, LLC (hereinafter referred to as Defendant(s)"), respectfully sets forth, 19 complains and alleges, upon information and belief, the following: 20 INTRODUCTION 21 Plaintiff brings this action on her own behalf for damages, statutory 1. 22 damages, attorney fees and costs brought by an individual consumer for Defendant's 23 violation(s) of §1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred 24 to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act (hereinafter "FDCPA") and Rosenthal Fair 25 Debt Collection Practices Act, California Civil Code § 1788 et seq. (hereinafter 26 "RFDCPA" which prohibit debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and 27 unfair practices. 28 1 of 7 COMPLAINT

2 3 4

1

- 6 7

5

- 8 9
- 11 12

10

- 13
- 14 15
- 16 17
- 18 19
- 20 21
- 22
- 24

23

- 25 26
- 27

28

- There is abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and a. Abusive debt collection unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors. practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy.
- Existing laws and procedures for redressing these injuries are b. inadequate to protect consumers.
- Means other than misrepresentation or other abusive debt C. collection practices are available for the effective collection of debts.
- Abusive debt collection practices are carried on to a substantial d. extent in interstate commerce and through means and instrumentalities of such commerce. Even where abusive debt collection practices are purely intrastate in character, they nevertheless directly affect interstate commerce.
- e. It is the purpose of this title to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses.
- 3. The California Legislature has found that: The banking and credit system and grantors of credit to consumers are dependent upon the collection of just and owing debts. Unfair or deceptive collection practices undermine the public confidence which is essential to the continued functioning of the banking and credit system and sound extensions of credit to consumers.

PARTIES

Plaintiff THERESE S. HARRIS (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), is a natural person residing in San Luis Obispo County, State of California. Plaintiff is a "consumer" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) and a "debtor" within the meaning of Cal. Civil Code §1788.2(h).

Defendant PAYMENT RESOLUTION SERVICES, LLC (hereinafter 5. 1 "PRS"), is a California law firm engaged in the business of debt collection with an office 2 at 1021 Windcross Ct., Franklin, TN 37067. The principal purpose of PRS is the 3 collection of debts using the mails and telephone, and PRS regularly attempts to collect 4 debts alleged to be due another. PRS is a "debt collector" within the meaning of 15 5 U.S.C. §1692a(6) and Cal. Civil Code § 1788.2(c). 6 **JURISDICTION** 7 6. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331, 8 as well as 15 U.S.C. §1692 et seg. and 28 U.S.C. §2201. If applicable, the Court also 9 has pendent jurisdiction over the state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 10 §1367(a). 11 7. This action arises out of Defendants' violations of the Fair Debt Collection 12

Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").

VENUE

8. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to <u>28 U.S.C.</u> § 1391(b) in that a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this judicial district. Venue is also proper in this judicial district pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), in that Defendants transact business in this judicial district and the violations of the FDCPA complained of occurred in this judicial district.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

9. Plaint	iff was insured by Aetna for medical purpose. The service and
alleged debt was i	ncurred primarily for personal, family or household purposes as is
therefore a "debt"	as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5) and a "consume
debt" as that term i	s defined by Cal. Civil Code §1788.2(f).
///	

26 | /// 27 | ///

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

3 of 7

- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that sometime thereafter on date unknown to Plaintiff, the debt was consigned, placed or otherwise transferred to Defendants for collection from Plaintiff. Upon information and belief, Defendant, on behalf of a third-party, began efforts to collect an alleged consumer debt from the Plaintiff.
- 11. Upon information and belief, and better known to the Defendant, the Defendant began its collection efforts and campaign of communications with the Plaintiff on February 18, 2014, by sending a letter titled "Refund Request" to the Plaintiff's home address.
- 12. On March 14, 2014, Plaintiff faxed PRS a letter disputing the debt and requesting validation. Plaintiff's letter was faxed on March 14, 2014 and PRS' records indicate receipt on March 17, 2014.
- 13. On March 20, 2014, PRS mailed Plaintiff another notice stating "IMPORTANT MATTER" and that Plaintiff still owed \$1,280.00. At no time did Plaintiff receive a verification of the debt.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violations of the FDCPA)

- 14. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference all of the paragraphs above as though fully stated herein.
- 15. Under 15 USC §1692g(b), if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, or that the consumer requests the name and address of the original creditor, the debt collector shall cease collection of the debt, or any disputed portion thereof, until the debt collector obtains verification of the debt or any copy of a judgment, or the name and address of the original creditor, and a copy of such verification or judgment, or name and address of the original creditor, is mailed to the consumer by the debt collector.

award of actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial, pursuant to Cal. Civil

27

28

Code § 1788.30(a).

- 26. As a result of PRS's willful and knowing violations of the RFDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of a statutory penalty in an amount not less than one Hundred dollars (\$100) nor greater than one thousand dollars (\$1,000), pursuant to Cal. Civil Code § 1788.30(b).
- 27. As a result of PRS's violations of the RFDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of statutory damages in an amount not exceeding \$1,000 pursuant to Cal. Civil Code § 1788.17.
- 28. As a result of PRS's violations of the RFDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Cal. Civil Code §§ 1788.30(c) and 1788.17.
- 29. Pursuant to Cal. Civil Code § 1788.32, the remedies provided under the RFDCPA are intended to be cumulative and in addition to any other procedures, rights or remedies that the Plaintiff may have under any other provision of law.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

30. Plaintiff hereby respectfully requests a trial by jury for all claims and issues in its Complaint to which it is or may be entitled to a jury trial.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this court:

- a. Assume jurisdiction in this proceeding;
- b. Declare that Defendant violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices
 Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692g(b);
- Declare that PRS violated the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection
 Practices Act, Cal. Civil Code §§ 1788.17 and 1788.30;
- d. Award Plaintiff actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) and Cal. Civil Code § 1788.30(a);
- e. Award Plaintiff statutory damages in an amount not exceeding \$1,000, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(a)(2)(A);

COMPLAINT